1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9		
10	BLACK FOREST STABLES, et al.,	CASE NO. C08-1025JLR
11	Plaintiffs,	ORDER
12	v.	
13	SABINE ULLMANN,	
14	Defendant.	
15	This matter comes before the court on Plaintiffs' motion to compel discovery	
16	(Dkt. # 14). Defendant has not responded to Plaintiffs' motion. Having considered	
17	Plaintiffs' motion and deeming oral argument unnecessary, the court GRANTS Plaintiffs'	
18	motion to compel discovery (Dkt. # 14.)	
19		
20		
21		
22	¹ "If a party fails to file papers in opposition to a motion, such failure may be considered by the court as an admission that the motion has merit." Local Rules W.D. Wash. CR 7(b)(2).	

A party may move for an order compelling a discovery response where another 1 party fails to answer an interrogatory or to produce requested documents. Fed. R. Civ. P. 2 37(a)(3)(B). A party must provide notice to other parties before moving for an order 3 compelling discovery and the movant must certify that it has in good faith conferred with the party who failed to respond to the discovery requests. Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(1). 5 Plaintiffs contend that they submitted their first set of interrogatories and requests 6 for production to Defendant on August 21, 2009. (Mot. at 1-2.) The parties conferred 7 regarding Plaintiffs' discovery requests on October 14, 2009, and again on October 27, 2009. (Id. at 2; see also id., Exs. A & B.) As of the date Plaintiffs filed their motion, Defendant had not yet responded to Plaintiffs' discovery requests. (Mot. at 2.) 10 As Plaintiffs have met Rule 37's requirements for filing a motion to compel 11 discovery, the court GRANTS Plaintiffs' motion to compel (Dkt. #14) and ORDERS 12 Defendant to respond to Plaintiffs' first set of interrogatories and requests for production 13 within 10 days of entry of this order. 14 Dated this 26th day of November, 2009. 15 16 ~ P. Plut 17 JAMES L. ROBART 18 United States District Judge 19 20 21 22